



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/076,635	02/19/2002	Osamu Samuel Nakagawa	10011548-1	2594	
7590 09/08/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			FREJD, RUSSELL WARREN		
Intellectual Pro	perty Administration				
P.O. Box 2724		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2128		

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/076,635	NAKAGAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INO DATE of this account is	Russell Frejd	2128				
The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuth  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION OF THIS COMMUNION OF THE STATE OF THE STAT	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>11 April 2002</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	·					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	under Ex parte Quayre, 1905 C.L	7. 11, 433 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected. )□ Claim(s) is/are objected to					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·	· ·				
_	Evaminer					
9)∐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)		·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Serial Number: 10/076,635 Page 1

In re Application of: Nakagawa et al.

### Examination of Application #10/076,635

1. Claims 1-38 of application 10/076,635, filed on 19-February-2002, are presented for examination.

#### Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd Paragraph

2. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "capable of" is determined by the examiner to be vague and indefinite.

## Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101

- 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

  Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore,
- subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- arts. In regard to claim 1, this claim is directed at a method for designing a layout for cooling an Internet Datacenter (IDC). To be statutory, the utility of an invention must be within the technological arts. *In re Musgrave*, 167 USPQ 280, 289-90 (CCPA, 1970). The definition of "technology" is the "application of science and engineering to the development of machines and procedures in order to enhance or improve human conditions, or at least to improve human efficiency in some respect." (Computer Dictionary 384 (Microsoft Press, 2d ed.1994)). The limitations recited in claim 1 contain no language suggesting that claim 1 is intended to be within

In re Application of: Nakagawa et al.

the technological arts. However, please note the method steps of claim 1 recited as part of a "computer-implemented method" would be considered as directed to the technological arts.

In regard to claims 17-38, the same arguments noted above are applied against the "system" of these claims. However, reciting these claims as part of a "computer" system would be considered as directed to the technological arts.

## Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- **4.1** Claims 1, 17 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Markiewicz, USP 4,989,417.
- 4.2 Markiewicz discloses a cold storage warehouse having a plurality of cells [col. 2, line29](applicant's defining a collection of cells);

an inner grouping of cells for storing products at below zero temperatures, and an outer grouping of cells for storing products at above zero temperatures [col. 2, lines 31-6](applicant's pre-characterizing the cells and determining a profile of parameters of interest for each cell); and determining an arrangement of the cells [Fig. 1 and col. 2, lines 28-36].

In re Application of: Nakagawa et al.

## Claim Objections

5. Claims 2-16, 18-31 and 33-38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and resolution of the 101 rejections noted above.

#### Response Guidelines

- 6. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see MPEP 710.02, 710.02(b)).
- 6.1 Any response to the Examiner in regard to this non-final action should be

directed to: Russell Frejd, telephone number (571) 272-3779, Monday-Friday

from 0530 to 1400 ET, or the examiner's supervisor, Jean Homere, telephone number (571) 272-3780. Inquires of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC2100

Group Receptionist (571) 272-2100.

mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to: (571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window, Randolph Building,

401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA, 22314.

Date: 6-September-2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3